

ANNA UNIVERSITY: CHENNAI 600 025 OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS

GUIDELINES FOR AWARDING PUNISHMENTS TO MALPRACTICE CASES OF STUDENTS

	OF STUDENTS	***
Sl.No.	Nature of Malpractice	Maximum Punishment
1.	Appeal by the candidate in the answer script to show	
	mercy by way of awarding more than deserving marks	
2.	The candidate writing his/her name in the answer script.	
3.	The candidate writing his/her registration number/college	
	name in places other than specified in the answer script.	
4.	Any special marking in the answer script by the	
	candidate.	T Fina SD 1000/
5.	The candidate communicating with neighbouring	I Fine of Rs.1000/- per subject.
	candidate orally or non-verbally; the candidate causing	
	suspicious movement of his/her body.	
6.	Irrelevant writing by the candidate in the answer script.	1
7.	The candidate either possessing the question paper of	v
	another candidate or passing his question paper to	
	another candidate with the question paper containing no	
	additional writing on it.	
8.	The candidate possessing cell phones/programmable	
	calculator(s)/any other electronic storage device(s)	II Fine of Rs.2000/- per subject.
	containing no incriminating materials.	and of the moon por subjects
9.	The candidate facilitating the other candidate(s) to copy	IIIA Invalidating the examination of the
	from his/her answer script.	particular subject written by the candidate.
1.0		IIIA, IIIB or IIIC
10.	The candidate possessing any incriminating material(s)	IIIA – If the quantum of the incriminating
	(whether used or not). For example:- Written or printed	material is less than that could normally be
u i	materials, bits of papers containing written information,	printed in two lines of A5 size paper, then
	writings on scale, calculator, handkerchief, dress, part of	punishment is restricted to the subject
	the body, Hall Ticket, etc.	concerned only.
11.	The candidate possessing cell phone(s)/programmable	IIIB – If the quantum is equal to or more than
	calculator(s)/any other electronic storage device(s) and	that could normally be printed in two lines and
	containing incriminating materials (whether used or not)	less than that could normally be printed in the
		full page of the A5 size paper then the
12.	The candidate possessing the question paper of another	punishment is invalidating the examination of
	candidate with additional writing on it.	the subject concerned and further the candidate
13.	The candidate passing his/her question paper to another	is not considered for any moderation and
10,	candidate with additional writing on it.	revaluation in the current semester for any
	candidate with additional writing on it.	subject (including arrear subjects)
14.	The candidate passing incriminating materials brought	IIIC – When the quantum is equal to or more
	into the examination hall in any medium (hard/soft) to	than that could normally be printed in full page
	other candidate(s).	
1.5		of A5 size paper, then the punishment would be invalidating the examinations of the subject
15.	The candidate copying from neighbouring candidate.	concerned and all the theory and the practical
		subjects of the current semester registered by
		the candidate. Further the candidate is not
		considered for revaluation of answer scripts of
		the arrear subjects.
		If the candidate has registered for the arrear
		subjects only, invalidating the examinations of
		all the arrear-subjects registered by the
	*	candidate. The punishment does not include
		project work and the subjects with 100%
		internal evaluation.

Sl.No.	Nature of Malpractice	Maximum Punishment
16.	Vulgar/offensive writings by the candidate in the answer script.	IV. – Invalidating the examinations of all the theory and practical subjects of the current semester and all the arrears subjects registered by the candidate.
17.	The candidate possessing the answer script of another candidate.	
18.	The candidate passing his/her answer script to another candidate.	
19.	Appeal by the candidate in the answer script coupled with a promise of any form of consideration.	
20.	The candidate misbehaving in the examination hall.	Va. – For candidates who have not completed the programme:
21	Involved in any one or more of the malpractices of serial no.10 to 19 for the second or subsequent times.	The examinations of all the theory and the practical subjects of the current semester and all the arrear subjects registered by the candidate are invalidated. Further, the candidate is debarred from continuing his/her studies for one year i.e for two subsequent semesters. However, the student is permitted to appear for the examination in all the arrear subjects upto the last semester during the debarred period.
		Vb. – For candidates who have completed the programme:
		The examinations of all the arrear subjects registered by the candidate are invalidated. Further, the candidate is prevented from writing the examinations of the arrear subjects for the two subsequent semesters.
22.	Cases of Impersonation.	For both the impersonator and the bonafide student for whom the impersonation was done.
		VI. – The examinations of all the subjects registered by the candidate are invalidated and further the student is debarred from continuing his/her studies and debarred from writing the examinations permanently. He/She is not eligible for any further admission to any programme of the University.

controller of examinations

Hi 8poin